

## APPENDIX "B."

## Approximate estimate of expenditure incidental to the present scheme relating to the Reorganisation of Rural Development Work.

|  | Rs.      | Rs.         |
|--|----------|-------------|
| 1. Cost on account of employment of 256 Revenue Inspectors in place of 256 Gramasudharakas at Rs. 50, Rs. 10 C.A. and Rs. 15 D.A. per mensem. Rs. $75 \times 12 \times 256$ ...        | 2,30,400 |             |
| Deduct the present cost on the Gramasudharakas at Rs. 30+5+5 per mensem. Rs. $256 \times 40 \times 12$ ...   | 1,22,880 |             |
| Balance ...  | 1,07,520 | 1,07,520    |
| 2. One peon to each Revenue Inspector at an average cost of Rs. 30 per mensem (including D.A.). Rs. $30 \times 12 \times 256$ ...  |          | 92,160      |
| 3. One peon at an average cost of Rs. 30 per mensem including D.A. to Chairman of each Taluk Committee. Rs. $30 \times 81 \times 12$ ...   |          | 29,160      |
| 4. Sitting charges to Taluk Committee Chairman at Rs 6; members at Rs. 3 (9 members). Rs. 33 per sitting $\times 12$ sittings 81 Taluks ...  |          | 32,076      |
| 5. Honorarium to the Chairman of the District Committee at Rs. 100 per mensem. Rs. $100 \times 10 \times 12$ ...   |          | 12,000      |
| 6. Pay of one peon to each Chairman of the District Committee at an average cost of Rs. 30 per mensem (including D.A.) Rs. $30 \times 12 \times 10$ ...                                |          | 3,600       |
| 7. Travelling Allowance, etc., to the members of the District Committees at an average cost of Rs. 20 per sitting for 20 members. Rs. $20 \times 20 \times 10 \times 4$ (Sittings) ... | 16,000   |             |
| Deduct normal expenditure now incurred ...   | 5,400    |             |
| Net ...  | 10,600   | 10,600      |
| 8. Special Revenue Inspectors for Depressed Class Work at Rs. 50+10+15 per mensem for 81 taluks. Rs. $75 \times 81 \times 12$ ...  |          | 72,900      |
| 9. One peon to each Special Revenue Inspector on an average cost of Rs. 30 per mensem. Rs. $30 \times 12 \times 81$ ...  |          | 29,160      |
| 10. One Regional Officer of the status of an Assistant Commissioner on about Rs. 300+40 D.A. for 12 months ...   |          | 4,080       |
| 11. Two peons to the above officer at an average cost of Rs. 30 per mensem Rs. $30 \times 2 \times 12$ ...   |          | 720         |
| 12. Contingencies to the above officer ...   |          | 120         |
| Total Expenditure ...  |          | 3,94,096    |
|  |          | Or 3,95,000 |

## FINANCIAL SECRETARIAT

## Empowering the Heads of Departments to make in-charge arrangements in vacancies other than leave vacancies and to sanction the charge allowance thereunder.

## READ—

Government Order No. FL 5920-5970—G.F. 184-46-2, dated 7th February 1947, authorising the Heads of Departments specified in Appendix 'O' of the Mysore Service Regulations to make in-charge arrangements in leave vacancies of not more than 2 months' duration and to sanction the charge allowance admissible under the rules in such cases.

2. Government Order No. FL 7777-7847—S. & A. 160-46-2, dated 5th May 1947, sanctioning the grant of charge allowance to an officer who is placed in-charge of the duties of an additional office, the pay of which is not more than that of his own office.

3. Letter No. 869—Es., dated 13th September 1948, from the Comptroller to Government soliciting instructions as to whether the Heads of Departments are competent to sanction charge allowance contemplated in the Government Order, dated 5th May 1947 (read above), to Gazetted Officers in cases other than the in-charge arrangements made in leave vacancies of Gazetted Officers.

ORDER No. FL 3865-3934—S. & A. 46-48-2, DATED CAMP MYSORE,  
THE 25TH OCTOBER 1948.

Government are pleased to direct that the Heads of Departments, specified in Appendix 'O' of the Mysore Service Regulations be authorised to (1) make in-charge arrangements in vacancies other than leave vacancies provided the period of each such vacancy exceeds ten days but not more than two months, and (2) sanction the charge allowance admissible under the rules. Sanction of Government is however, necessary in cases of grant of more than one charge allowance or duty allowance as a result of placing an officer to be in charge of the duties of more than one appointment, in addition to his own.

M. SHAMANNA,  
Secretary to Government,  
Financial Department.